

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON**

ESHA RESEARCH, LLC
Plaintiff,

Case No.: 3:25-cv-00880-JR

v.

RLH ASSETS, LLC, et al.
Defendant.

Civil Case Assignment Order

1. Presiding Judge: The above referenced case has been filed in the Portland Division of the U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon and assigned to:

Presiding JudgeU.S. Magistrate Judge Jolie A. Russo
Presiding Judge's Suffix Code* JR

*These letters must follow the case number on all future filings.

2. Status or Scheduling Questions: Questions about the status or scheduling of this case should be directed to the judge's courtroom deputy clerk at:

Telephone: 503-326-8055

Email: russo_crd@ord.uscourts.gov

3. Filing or Docket Entry Questions: For questions about filings or docket entries in this case call 503-326-8050 to speak to the case administrator.

4. Place of Filing: Any paper filings must be submitted to the Clerk of Court, Mark O. Hatfield U.S. Courthouse, 1000 S.W. Third Ave., Portland, OR, 97204. (*See* LR 3-1, LR 5-5.)

5. District Court Website: Information about local rules of practice, CM/ECF electronic filing requirements, responsibility to redact personal identifiers from filings, and other related information can be found on the Court's website at ord.uscourts.gov.

6. Free Legal Assistance for Pro Se Litigants: Litigants proceeding pro se (without a lawyer) may qualify for free legal assistance from the Oregon Chapter of the Federal Bar Association's Free Federal Law Clinic. To see if you qualify, apply at fedlawclinic.com.

7. Presumed Consent to Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge:

a. Pursuant to Standing Order 2025-1, after all parties have appeared in this case, and after any party later appears in this case (such as after an amended complaint that adds a party), the Court will issue a notice setting a deadline of thirty days for any party wishing to decline the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge to file a declination of consent. There will be no adverse consequences if a party elects not to consent to a Magistrate Judge. Magistrate Judges, however, may be able to resolve a case earlier as they are primarily assigned only to civil cases. Where no declinations of consent are timely filed, the record will confirm the parties' consent.

b. If one or more declinations of consent are filed, the Magistrate Judge will remain responsible for all case management and scheduling activities, and will consider dispositive motions by issuing Findings and Recommendations, which will be referred to a district judge for final ruling. After the district judge has issued final ruling on all dispositive motions, the case will be reassigned to the district judge for trial. Pursuant to LR 72, the assigned Magistrate Judge is authorized to conduct all pretrial proceedings contemplated by 28 U.S.C. Â§ 636(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 without further designation of the Court.

c. Any declining party may change their position on consent by filing the Consent to Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge form at any point during the proceedings up until the final disposition of the case, though the parties are strongly encouraged to consent as early as possible and preferably prior to the filing of dispositive motions. Parties who did not timely decline consent may not decline consent after the deadline for filing the declination of consent has passed.

Additional information about United States Magistrate Judges in the District of Oregon is available on the Court's website.

NOTICE TO NON-ADMITTED COUNSEL: This case has been transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon from the U.S. District Court for the Delaware. Our records indicate that one or more attorneys appearing on the case are not admitted to practice or are admitted only *pro hac vice* in the District of Oregon. Admissions information is governed by LR 83, and information about the admissions process is available on the admissions page of the Court website.

DATED: May 22, 2025

MELISSA AUBIN
Clerk of Court

by: /s/ E. Oss
E. Oss, Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

ESHA RESEARCH, LLC
Plaintiff,

Case No.: 3:25-cv-00880-JR

v.

RLH ASSETS, LLC, et al.
Defendant.

Declination of Consent to Jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge

This case has been assigned to a U.S. Magistrate Judge for all purposes, including entry of orders on dispositive motions, trial, entry of final judgment, and direct review by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. *See* Standing Order 07-mc-920 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73.

Consent to jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge is voluntary. Any party may decline consent by completing and submitting this form by 30 days after the last named party's appearance.

Each party will be deemed to have knowingly and voluntarily consented to proceed before the assigned magistrate judge if the form is not returned by the due date. If any party declines to consent to jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge, the assigned Magistrate Judge will remain responsible for all case management and scheduling activities, will hear and decide all non-dispositive pretrial and discovery matters, and will consider dispositive motions by issuing Findings and Recommendations. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72.

The identity of any declining party will not be disclosed to the judge.

☐ I **DECLINE** consent to jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge.

Signature of Party/Attorney: _____

Attorney Name and Bar Number: _____

E-mail Address: _____

Firm Name: _____

Mailing Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

Party Name: _____